69 A. . Wait, I want to backtrack. Cheryl Fiorelli had 1 71 mild asymmetrical astigmatism, mostly symmetrical, in 2 1 that mean? 2 That's the axis of the flat meridian. 3 both eyes. A. 3 4 Okay. 0. What's the flat meridian? Q. It was the same in both eye. 4 Well, astigmatism means that one meridian is A. A. steeper than another -- a bowling ball would have the 5 6 Mildly symmetrical? 0. same curvature. A football, they certainly wouldn't. 6 7 Mildly asymmetrical. Α. 7 8 0. Q. Asymmetrical? Sure. 9 Very few people have completely symmetrical 8 So you can identify astigmatism by saying where A. A. astigmatism and hers was typical asymmetrical. either the flat or the steep meridian is. 10 9 11 Now, you use mildly, tell me how do you 10 Q. 0. Okay. 12 differentiate, if there is a way --11 But identifying the location whether you're A. referring to the flat or the steep. 13 The way we differentiate --12 A. 14 13 Prior to any of the surgery that you performed, -- the degrees of astigmatism? 0. 0. 15 We differentiate the -- I don't know what you am I correct that the astigmatism Cheryl had in both 14 A. eyes was correctable with spectacles? 16 15 mean by degrees. 17 I'm not sure I can answer that. 16 A. Well, when you say mild? Q. 18 17 Let me change the question. You classified the 0. A. Variety? - astigmatism, can we agree that she did not have any 18 0. The variety. irregular astigmatism prior to the 3/20 surgery of the 19 The extent? 20 21 20 right eye and the 3/27 on the left eye? 0. The extent. 22 The extent of the astigmatism is differentiated We haven't defined her regular astigmatism -- we Α. 21 A. 23 22 said the astigmatism is not correctable with on refraction. 24 And what would you consider to be, for instance, 23 Q. spectacles. 24 0. Right. 70 you said, Cheryl as a mild astigmatism? 72 1 But correctable with a contact lens. No, I didn't say that. A. A. You said mildly asymmetrical, mostly symmetrical 2 Okay. So you're amending the previous definition 0. 0. 4 3 astigmatism? of irregular? 5 4 If I didn't mention the contact lens --A. Yes. Α. 6 Why do you use the word mildly? 5 0. MS. NEWMAN: If she had an irregular Because it's almost completely symmetrical. 6 Α. astigmatism, I think that was the question, Now, is there a way that you classify the extent 7 before March 20, 1997? ç 8 BY MR. KAFRISSEN: 01 astigmatism? 9 Right. The question is, on the right did she 1 The extent, yes, in diopters. A. 0. have an irregular astigmatism prior to surgery on March Tell me how much would be -- how do you classify 10 0. and what are the classifications? 11 20, 1997? Well, it's right here in my refraction. She has 12 This is not a yes/no question. A. Α. 1.75 diopters of astigmatism in her right eye, and two 13 0. Why not? diopters in her left and her glasses and what I got on Because we define a regular astigmatism as 14 A. 15 existing when the hard contact lens vision is better refraction. than soft or spectacle corrected vision. And I don't 1.75 and two, is that a severe astigmatism, is it 16 0. have a hard contact lens refraction in my records so I a mild astigmatism? 17 can't state with certainty that there couldn't have 18 It's moderate. A. been some element of irregular astigmatism. Are there any ranges which you would classify 19 Q. zero to one and a half, that's stage one -- I don't Can the lasik procedure cause an irregular 20 Q. know if they stage those things? 21 astigmatism? 22 No, you don't. You just list the diopters. A. А. Yes. And the 20 degrees in the right eye, what does 23 Is causing an irregular astigmatism during the Q. 0. lasik procedure a complication, a known complication, 24

ROYAL COURT REPORTING SERVICE, INC.